Comparison of body mass reduction obtained with RYGB and SG bariatric procedures and with a reduction diet – procedures versus reduction diet
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Extreme obesity is becoming a more common health problem, and the growing popularity of bariatric procedures seems to be a good solution in the pursuit of reaching a proper body weight and to improve health. The aim of this study was to determine whether bariatric procedures are more effective than a rational reduction diet in obtaining body weight reduction and an appropriate intake of nutrients.

Materials and methods: The analyses were performed among 40 female patients admitted for bariatric surgery using gastric bypass or sleeve gastrectomy. The average age of women was 42.96 (SD 10.45) years, average body weight was 131.56 kg (SD 14.18) with average height of 168.37 cm (SD 8.69). All statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 12.

Results: The loss of body weight on the reduction diet after 13 months ranged from 26–42 kg, depending on the level of physical activity. In the group of patients after bariatric surgery the average body weight reduction after 13 months was 31.87 kg. The reduction diet and the diet after surgery were prepared according to the Diet 5 software recommended by Institute of Food and Nutrition (Instytut Żywności i Żywienia) in Poland. The diet after the bariatric procedure contained 1237 kcal and comprised 26.9% protein, 34.6% fat and 38.5% carbohydrates. The diet for patients after the surgery provided insufficient levels of potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc, iodine, vitamins: E, B1, D, folic acid and dietary fibre.

Conclusions: Body mass reduction achieved by proper diet and increased physical activity can be equally effective in obesity treatment as bariatric procedures. It also poses lesser risk of complications and potential deficiencies in nutrients. It seems that in both cases the long-term support of a dietitian and a psychologist is necessary in order to develop healthy eating habits.
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ABSTRAKT

Wstęp: Otyłość ołbrzymia staje się coraz większym problemem zdrowotnym, a rosnąca popularność operacji bariatrycznych wydaje się dobrym rozwiązaniem w walce o uzyskanie prawidłowej masy ciała i poprawę zdrowia. Celem pracy było zbadanie, czy operacje bariatryczne są skuteczniejsze od zastosowania racjonalnej diety redukcyjnej w użyciu redukcji masy ciała i prawidłowej podaży składników pokarmowych.

Materiały i metody: Badania zostały przeprowadzone wśród 40 pacjentek zgłaszających się na operacje bariatryczne metodą ominięcia żołądkowo-jelitowego lub rękawowej resekcji żołądka. Średnia wieku kobiet wynosiła 43,7 (SD 24,4) lat, średnia masa ciała 127,7 kg (SD 11,3), przy średnim wzroście 168,14 cm (SD 7,5). Oznaczenia statystyczne wykonano przy użyciu programu Statistica 12.

 Wyniki: Utrata masy ciała na diecie redukcyjnej przez okres 13 miesięcy wynosił 26–42 kg w zależności od aktywności fizycznej. W grupie pacjentów po operacji bariatrycznej średni ubytek masy ciała po 13 miesiącach wynosił ok. 33 kg (SD 14,3). Dieta redukcyjna po operacji była układana zgodnie z zaleceniami przy użyciu programu Dieta 5 rekomendowanego przez Instytut Żywności i Żywienia. Dieta po operacji bariatrycznej charakteryzowała się kalorycznością 1237 kcal, w tym odsetek z białka 26,9%, tłuszczu 34,6%, węglowodanów 38,4%. Dieta pacjentek po operacji była niedoborowa pod względem podaży potasu, wapnia, magnezu, żelaza, cynku, jodu, witamin E, B1, D, kwasu foliowego i błonnika pokarmowego.

Wnioski: Redukcja masy ciała odpowiednią dietą oraz poprzez zwiększenie aktywności fizycznej może być skuteczniejszą metodą w leczeniu otyłości niż operacje bariatryczne. Stwierdzono mniejsze ryzyko komplikacji oraz wystąpienia niedoborów żywieniowych. W obu przypadkach niezbędna jest długoletnia pomoc dietetyka i psychologa oraz wypracowanie prawidłowych nawyków żywieniowych.

Słowa kluczowe: redukcja masy ciała; dieta redukcyjna; operacja bariatryczna; ominięcie żołądkowo-jelitowe; rękawowa resekcja żołądka.
INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a chronic disease which can be determined genetically [1], but its occurrence within the family can also be caused by environmental factors, such as the lack of physical activity, high calorie diet and consumption of cheap food of low quality [2]. Obesity facilitates the development of many diet-related diseases linked to the presence of metabolic syndrome, a state when adipose tissue comprises of more than 25% of total body weight in men and 30% in women [3]. The basic way to fight obesity is to introduce a rational diet, develop proper dietary habits and increase physical activity. Reducing the daily caloric intake by 500 kcal below the daily requirements enables to reduce the body mass by ca. 0.5 kg a week, and with increased physical activity one can achieve even better results [4]. Caloric intake, however, should never drop below basal metabolic rate (BMR) because it triggers the mechanisms buffering body weight loss [5, 6].

Reducing weight by reducing caloric intake is a long-time process and can be ineffective in case of people with hormonal or metabolic disorders, with genetic load or low physical activity. Bariatric surgery seems to be the quickest and thus the most effective way to reduce body weight and treat pathological obesity [7]. However, it also poses many health risks often unknown to patients before the surgery. The medical indications for surgical obesity treatment are, among others, high body mass index (BMI) – above 40 kg/m², high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, osteoarthritis, restrictive ventilatory defects and numerous ineffective dietary interventions. For the process of body weight reduction to be effective in long-term the patient should be supported by a qualified dietician, whose responsibility would be to prepare the patient to the surgery, help in develop proper dietary habits, increase the patient’s physical activity and guide through the early post-operative period [8]. Maintaining new dietary habits and changed lifestyle are crucial in keeping the results of bariatric surgery. Psychological support is also important, because such patients are susceptible to depression related to the lack of self-acceptance [9].

The two aforementioned bariatric procedures are significantly different [10]. In the method called Roux-en-Y bypass (RYGB) the stomach is divided below the lower stomach outlet horizontally into two parts. The upper part forms smaller stomach pouch connected to small intestine loop via a “Roux limb”. Lower part remains physiologically linked to duodenum and proximal part of jejunum, through which the bile and digestive enzymes are transported. Digestion and absorption are thus limited, because they occur on a shorter distance of the gastrointestinal tract. This method is especially recommended for the patients called “sweet eaters”. The length of the bypass and the size of the upper stomach are adjusted individually for each patient. The advantage of this method is higher average reduction of body mass [11]. The disadvantages of this method include frequent post-operative complications and the necessity of lifelong supplementation in vitamins and minerals and regular control of their level in the organism due to potential deficiencies.

Sleeve gastrectomy (SG) is based on the surgical removal of ca. 70–80% of the stomach along the greater curvature. The remaining part forms a narrow sleeve with intact outlet near the pylorus. This method is especially recommended for binge eaters. The mechanism of the procedure consists in the reduction of the volume of consumed food and hunger due to decreased secretion of ghrelin and reduced appetite nerve signalling in hypothalamus [12, 13]. Sleeve gastrectomy is often selected for patients with menstrual obesity (BMI > 50 kg/m²), for whom it is the first part of the two-stage surgical obesity treatment. The period between the surgery and the stabilization of the body weight is considered as starvation period with all its consequences and it lasts for ca. 2 years.

Therefore, the least invasive method of body mass and adipose tissue reduction is a properly balanced diet. The advantages of its use are greater in many aspects. However, the popularity of bariatric surgeries grows, despite the fact that patients do not have appropriate dietary care.

The aim of this study was to determine which methods: bariatric surgery RYGB and SG type or rational reduction diet is more effective in achieving weight reduction. Our task was also to consider the correct supply of nutrients and the health consequences resulting from both methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test group
To perform the study reported their scope on the Bioethics Committee of the Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin (No. KB-0012/34/04/2014). Examined groups of bariatric patients (RYGB and SG) did not differ significantly with respect to anthropometric and biochemical parameters. The average age of 40 women in the test group was 42.96 ±10.45. The average body weight was 81.5 ±14.18 kg and waist circumference 139.2 ±14.33 cm. Besides obesity, in 56.25% of women diet-related diseases were present, and other women were qualified for surgery due to numerous attemps to treat obesity using diets with no successful effects. Women were treated using one of the bariatric procedures – SG or RYGB. The decision on which method would be selected was based on the criteria presented in the table below and personal questionnaire (Table 1). Anthropometric measurements were performed with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Roux-en-Y bypass</th>
<th>Sleeve gastrectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;30 years of age</td>
<td>&gt;30 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accompanying diseases</td>
<td>metabolic (mainly diabetes)</td>
<td>without diseases (“anti-incretin” theory of diabetes remission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary habits</td>
<td>„sweet eaters“</td>
<td>people who gorge themselves, large volume meals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2. Characteristics of the test group of women before the surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Total (SG + RYGB)</th>
<th>RYGB</th>
<th>SG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age* (years)</td>
<td>42.96 ±10.45</td>
<td>42.97 ±10.10</td>
<td>42.95 ±10.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight* (kg)</td>
<td>131.56 ±14.18</td>
<td>130.08 ±14.41</td>
<td>132.32 ±13.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height* (cm)</td>
<td>168.37 ±8.69</td>
<td>168.49 ±8.71</td>
<td>168.12 ±8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference* (cm)</td>
<td>139.2 ±14.33</td>
<td>138.38 ±13.97</td>
<td>140.15 ±14.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference* (cm)</td>
<td>143.50 ±13.73</td>
<td>142.77 ±14.01</td>
<td>144.23 ±12.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index* (kg/m²)</td>
<td>46.49 ±6.78</td>
<td>45.82 ±6.66</td>
<td>46.81 ±7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR*</td>
<td>1.02 ±0.11</td>
<td>1.02 ±0.10</td>
<td>1.02 ±0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SG – sleeve gastrectomy; RYGB – Roux-en-Y bypass; WHR – waist to hip ratio
* no statistically significant differences (p > 0.05)

0.5 cm and 0.1 kg accuracy (body weight, height, waist circumference, hip circumference). No significant differences were observed between the tested groups (Table 2).

Dietary recommendations for patients reducing weight through the change of lifestyle

A 7-day reduction diet was developed based on the recommendations of Ciborowska and Rudnicka: Dietetics, nutrition of healthy and sick person [14]. The diet assumed physical activity index of 1.4. Daily caloric intake after a 500–800 kcal reduction was, on average, 1930 kcal, including 18% energy from

TABLE 3. Energy and nutrients contents in compared diets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Initial diet after bariatric surgery</th>
<th>Reduction diet for patients not subjected to bariatric surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (g)</td>
<td>668.95*</td>
<td>76.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein (g)</td>
<td>72.88*</td>
<td>9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal protein (g)</td>
<td>53.25</td>
<td>10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant protein (g)</td>
<td>11.63*</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>50.60*</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrates (g)</td>
<td>128.03*</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (g)</td>
<td>9.48*</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg)</td>
<td>1432.69</td>
<td>524.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg)</td>
<td>2055.55*</td>
<td>335.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg)</td>
<td>525.44*</td>
<td>186.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (mg)</td>
<td>1154.85*</td>
<td>138.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg)</td>
<td>234.78*</td>
<td>50.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg)</td>
<td>7.05*</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg)</td>
<td>7.44*</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (mg)</td>
<td>0.79*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (mg)</td>
<td>3.59*</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A (μg)</td>
<td>803.89*</td>
<td>400.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (μg)</td>
<td>220.63</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-carotene (μg)</td>
<td>3635.67*</td>
<td>2605.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Component
Initial diet after bariatric surgery | Reduction diet for patients not subjected to bariatric surgery
---|-----------------|-----------------|
Energy (kcal) | average | SD | average | SD |
Water (g) | 668.95* | 76.39 | 1321.30 | 151.28 |
Total protein (g) | 72.88* | 9.58 | 93.52 | 15.17 |
Animal protein (g) | 53.25 | 10.31 | 51.58 | 15.17 |
Plant protein (g) | 11.63* | 3.21 | 42.30 | 9.36 |
Fat (g) | 50.60* | 6.16 | 62.64 | 9.43 |
Total carbohydrates (g) | 128.03* | 17.30 | 281.38 | 22.09 |
Ash (g) | 9.48* | 1.18 | 17.26 | 0.65 |
Sodium (mg) | 1432.69 | 524.35 | 1691.99 | 491.74 |
Potassium (mg) | 2055.55* | 335.98 | 4213.33 | 649.54 |
Calcium (mg) | 525.44* | 186.81 | 932.31 | 279.11 |
Phosphorus (mg) | 1154.85* | 138.48 | 1910.24 | 194.73 |
Magnesium (mg) | 234.78* | 50.53 | 533.72 | 26.36 |
Iron (mg) | 7.05* | 1.39 | 14.55 | 1.89 |
Zinc (mg) | 7.44* | 1.63 | 13.70 | 1.08 |
Copper (mg) | 0.79* | 0.13 | 0.84 | 0.25 |
Manganese (mg) | 3.59* | 0.78 | 8.08 | 1.52 |
Vitamin A (μg) | 803.89* | 400.28 | 1766.58 | 760.49 |
Retinol (μg) | 220.63 | 66.66 | 220.02 | 64.56 |
Beta-carotene (μg) | 3635.67* | 2605.42 | 9280.41 | 4331.15 |

* statistically significant differences p ≤ 0.05
protein, below 30% energy from fat and 52% of energy from carbohydrates. The contents of nutrients and energy in the diet are presented in Table 3. The average content of nutrients in a 7-day diet covered the demand of an organism for analysed components. Each menu was composed of 5 meals including wholemeal grain products, 5 portions of vegetables, 3 portions of dairy and fish and 2 portions of meat and its high-quality products. Calorie load, dietary fibre and minerals were supplied by 2 portions of fruits with low glycemic index and by seeds and grains. Such objectives should lead to weight loss from 0.5 kg to 1 kg a week, depending on individual characteristics of a patient and physical activity [14].

**Dietary recommendations for patients subjected to bariatric surgery**

The patients subjected to bariatric surgery (RYGB or SG) used a diet prepared according to Dietary recommendations after bariatric procedures [15]. The diet was low in energy (significantly below BMR), containing, on average, 69 g of protein (26.9%), 40 g of fat (34.6%) and 113 g of carbohydrates (38.4%). The diet for patients after the bariatric procedure was deficient in the supply of vitamins, nutrients and dietary fibre, which was a necessary limitation after the surgery. Nutrients’ contents in first months of the diet are presented in Table 3.

**Results and statistical analyses**

Energy and nutrient contents were analysed using Diet 5D software recommended by the National Food and Nutrition Institute (Warsaw, Poland). The reduction of body weight in women after bariatric surgery was compared to estimated body mass reduction based on reduced caloric intake. Statistical calculations were performed using Statistica 12 (Statsoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). Arithmetical mean, standard deviation and statistically significant differences were calculated using ANOVA. The test for dependant samples was performed using p ≤ 0.05 level of significance.

**RESULTS**

The average body weight of female patients before the bariatric surgery was 71.56 kg (SD 14.8); average height was 168.37 cm (SD 8.69); average age was 42.96 (SD 10.45). The average reduction in daily caloric intake was 1930 kcal, whereas the caloric intake after bariatric surgery was only 1036 kcal. The diet after bariatric surgery contained 69 g of protein (26.9%), 40 g of fat (34.6%) and 113 g of carbohydrates (38.4%). The diet for patients after the surgery provided insufficient intake of potassium (2056 mg), calcium (525 mg), magnesium (234 mg), iron (7 mg), zinc (7.4 mg), vitamins: E (7.4 mg), B1 (0.8 mg), D (3.7 μg), folic acid (217 μg), iodine (49 μg) and dietary fibre (14.7 g). In the properly composed reduction diet the deficiency of nutrients was not observed. The contents of nutrients in both diets is presented in Table 3.

Body mass reduction in reduction diet after 13 months should reach from 26–41.6 kg (on average 33.8 kg). After 13 months from bariatric surgery the reduction of body weight in case of RYGB amounted to 32.27 kg (SD 13.9) and in case of SG – 31.02 kg (SD 12.3), which was presented in Figure 1.

**DISCUSSION**

The brain is the organ responsible for the reception and processing of signals related to the beginning or ending of meal consumption. The appestat regulated by the systems located in hypothalamus is influenced by the concentration of glucose and free fatty acids in the blood, genetic, psychic, thermal and hormonal factors, and by the changes in thermal regulation caused by the specific dynamic action of food. Additionally, the regulation of appetite and satiety is affected by the signals, which reach the hypothalamus from the digestive system and adipose tissue [16, 17]. Lateral hypothalamus is responsible for the sensation of hunger through the neurons related to neuropeptide Y, whereas medial hypothalamic nucleus is associated with satiety through the neurons related to proopiomelanocortin. Leptin – a protein made mainly by adipose cells, has the ability to pass through the blood–brain barrier and inhibit the activity of neurons related to agouti-related peptide (decreases energy expenditure) and neuropeptide Y (appetite stimulator). Leptin also stimulates the expression and release of α-melanocytestimulating hormone in arcuate nucleus leading to the feeling of satiety.

Among the many homeostatic mechanisms in the organism the concentration of glucose is the most precisely regulated. Glucose in the blood can come from food or, when the intake of carbohydrates is insufficient, the organism produces glucose from non-carbohydrate compounds (glycogenic amino acids, lactate, glycerol) via gluconeogenesis [18]. The hormones acting in an opposite way to insulin, i.e. aiming at increasing the level of glucose in blood in response to hypoglycaemia, are: glucagon, hormones of anterior pituitary lobe – growth hormone and adrenocorticotropic hormone, glucocorticosteroids and adrenaline, which is secreted by adrenal medulla also under stress.

Improperly balanced diet, too long breaks between meals and used culinary techniques have enormous effect on our nutritional status. Surgical obesity treatment requires careful consideration of all advantages and disadvantages between

---

**FIGURE 1.** Average body weight reduction (kg) after 13 months

RYGB – Roux-en-Y bypass; SG – sleeve gastrectomy

---
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progressing and untreated chronic diseases related to obe-
sity and potential complications and side effects of surgical
interventions leading to chronic malnutrition [19, 20].

Bariatric surgery not only results in physical reduction of
the amount of consumed food but it also disrupts some of
the hormonal pathways [21]. Bypassing the stomach excludes
the fundus of the stomach and duodenum, where ghrelin – an
orexigenic hormone – is synthesised. In patients after RYGB
procedure the reduction of the concentration of insulin and
leptin was observed, the hormones responsible for the intake
of reduced amount of food [20]. Bariatric surgeries also affect
the secretion of incretins (GLP-1, GIP, YY peptide and ghrelin),
the hormones of digestive system, which induce the release
of insulin from pancreatic beta cells under food consumption.

Unfortunately, the effects of body mass reduction via bari-
atriac procedures are diminished by possible occurrence of
many complications [22]. Roux-en-Y bypass procedure is related
to almost 40% risk of development of cholelithiasis 6 months
after the surgery. Drastic body weight loss, nutritional defi-
ciencies and increased concentration of parathormone in blood
lead to reconstruction and decreased bones density [23].

Roux-en-Y bypass can also be related to the risk of the de-
velopment of dumping syndrome even in 40% of patients. The
symptoms of this syndrome relate to rapid emptying of the
stomach or abrupt exposition of small intestine to nutrients.
Clinical symptoms are divided into early (stomach ache, diar-
rhoea, also hypertension, palpitation and hot flushes) and late
(symptoms of hypoglycaemia: hunger, sweating, weakness,
diarrhea, dizziness, fainting). Roux-en-Y bypass method should not
be used in people suffering from inflammatory bowel disease
or eating disorders. Even though the quality of life significantly
improves for the patients immediately after bariatric surgery,
out that ca. 40% of patients had at least one psychiatric diagno-
sis and the most common disorders were depression, anxiety
or eating disorders. Even though the quality of life significantly
improves for the patients immediately after bariatric surgery,
the initial postoperative improvement in psychic functional-
ity diminishes over 2–3 years after the procedure. In patients
subjected to bariatric surgeries a higher suicide ratio was
observed (in patients 2–8 years after the procedure), as com-
pared to the general population [9]. Deteriorated psychical
health in patients after bariatric surgery could result from their
little adjustment to experience social acceptance due to unde-
veloped social functioning. It could also be caused by the fact
that longstanding personality disorders had been masked by the
patient by excessive food consumption and were revealed
once body weight was reduced. The diet after bariatric surgery
is non-physiological, deficient and lacks in energy (often below
BMR) which can facilitate the development of such diseases as:
osteoporosis, anaemia due to iron and folacin deficits, hypothy-
roidism, disrupted peristalsis and depression. Thus, it seems
that in bariatric patients we should introduce supplementation
or even feeding with special dietary foods with higher density
of nutrients, vitamins and minerals. However, an additional

issue appears, as we do not know whether the nutrients would
be absorbed well and, moreover, we do not know the composi-
tion of such supplement. Reduction diet based on rational diet
allows to adapt to changes, does not lead to hepatic steatosis,
results in less intensive lipolysis than in case of bariatric sur-
urgery [25], supplies appropriate amounts of vitamins and min-
erals and, more importantly, develops rational and appropri-
ate dietary habits, which can be maintained for the rest of life.

Taking all the above observations into consideration and the
effect of body mass reduction obtained by restrictive bariar-
tric procedures and this obtained by using rational restrictiv-
diet, which is comparable, it could be assumed that the
most rational method of fighting with obesity is to provide
long-term dietary and psychological care to the patient and
treat obesity with non-invasive methods. At the same time it
should be stressed that there are patients for whom bariatric
surgeries will be the best obesity treatment alternative but
such patients should be cared for by a multidisciplinary group
of medical specialists [26].

CONCLUSIONS

Body mass reduction by diet with lowered caloric load and
through the introduction of increased physical activity can
be at least as effective way to treat obesity as bariatric sur-
urgery. Due to numerous complications occurring after bariat-
ritic surgeries, long-term deficiencies of nutrients in a diet and
improper dietary habits, bariatric surgery should be treated as
a last resort in obesity treatment. In both cases the long-term
care of a dietitian and a psychologist seems to be necessary.
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